

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/735,917	12/16/2003	Yoshihiro Koga	60188-732	3835
7590 01/16/2007 Jack Q. Lever, Jr. McDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY			EXAMINER	
			VO, THANH DUC	
600 Thirteenth Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005-3096		,	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
washington, D			2189	
				· .
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		01/16/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/735,917	KOGA ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Thanh D. Vo	2189			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status	·					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Oc	ctober 2006				
·		action is non-final.				
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
٠,١	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
	·	pai. to Quay.o, 1000 0.01 1.,				
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-42</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•	•			
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7,19,22,25,28,30,32,35,38 and 41</u> is/are rejected.					
7)🖂	7) Claim(s) 18,20,21,23,24,26,27,29,31,33,34,36,37,39,40 and 42 is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. This Office Action is responsive to the Amendment filed on October 16, 2006. Claims 1, 2, 4, 9, 22, 25, 28, 32, 34, 35, 38, and 41 have been amended. Claims 1-42 are presented for examination. Claims 1-42 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 4-16, 19, 22, 25, 28, 32, 35, 38, and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

As per claims 4, 6, 9, 12, 15, 19, 22, 25, 28, 32, 35, 38, and 41, the term "a smallest amount" in claims 4, 6, 9, 12, 15, 19, 22, 25, 28, 32, 35, 38, and 41 is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The term "a smallest amount" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention.

All dependent claims are rejected as having the same deficiencies as the claims they depend from.

Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/735,917

Art Unit: 2189

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

3. Claims 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Evans et al. (US Patent 6,854,046).

As per claim 1, Evans et al. discloses a semiconductor device comprising: a processor (Fig. 1, item 120);

a first memory unit accessed by the processor (col. 2, lines 31-32, secondary storage such as hard disk);

a plurality of page memory units obtained by partitioning a second memory unit (
which is accessible by the processor at a speed higher than a speed at which the first
memory unit is accessible such that each of the page memory units has a storage

Application/Control Number: 10/735,917

Art Unit: 2189

capacity of several kilobytes (See col. 10, lines 24-42, wherein a page is partitioned from the main memory that has a size of several kilobytes);

Evans et al. further discloses a tag (col. 14, lines 4-5) with address value (col. 2, lines 27-30) and priority information (col. 3, lines 40-42).

a tag comparator (Fig. 7) for comparing, upon receipt of an access request from the processor, the address value in the first memory unit with the tag information held by the tag (col. 2, lines 8-10); and

a replacement control unit for replacing respective contents of the page memory units. See col. 3, lines 40-48.

It is noted that Evens et al.'s invention is the improved method over the previous invention indicated at the Background of the Invention wherein the tag was used.

Therefore, the invention of Evans et al. inherently comprises all of the features of a tag plus the improved method.

4. Claims 2-4, 17, and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Evans et al. (US Patent 6,854,046) in view of Yamazaki et al. (US Patent 6,233,195).

As per claim 2, Yamazaki et al. discloses a semiconductor device comprising:

a distribution managing unit for managing the number of pages allocated to each
of the page memory units for each function of an application program executed by the
processor. See col. 7, lines 35-44, wherein a plurality of pages are allocated or

Art Unit: 2189

distributed to teach memory block (page memory unit). In addition, each function of an application program executed by the processor is an inherent feature in the device Yamazaki et al. since all command and/or instructions that are processed by the processor has to be executed using each of the memory block in the cache memory.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having an ordinary skill in the art at the time of the Applicant invention to combine the method of Evans et al. with the method of Yamazaki et al. in order to arrive at the current invention. The motivation of doing so is to improve the page hit rate as disclosed by Yamazaki et al. at col. 8, lines 59-61.

As per claim 3, Yamazaki et al. discloses a semiconductor, wherein the plurality of page memory units are assigned to groups each composed of a specified number of page memory units to compose a plurality of bank memories (col. 8, lines 7-13), the semiconductor device further comprising:

a bank control unit for managing the plurality of bank memories is an inherent feature since the device has to have a control unit to assign a plurality of page memory into each of the bank memory.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having an ordinary skill in the art at the time of the Applicant's invention to combine the method of Evans et al. with the method of Yamazaki et al. in order to arrive at the current invention. The motivation of doing so is to improve the page hit rate as disclosed by Yamazaki et al. at col. 9, lines 5-8.

Art Unit: 2189

As to claims 4, 17, and 30, Evans et al. discloses a replacement control unit determines whether or not information on a requested address is held in the tag upon receipt of an access request; select one of the plurality of page memory units if the address information is not held in the tag based on preliminarily specified replacement information; transfers data of the requested address from the first memory unit into the page memory unit. See request and replacement procedure at col. 2, lines 29-42.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-42 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 18, 21, 24, 27, 31, 34, 37, and 40 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 20, 23, 26, 29, 33, 36, 39, and 42 are also allowable since they depending from allowable claims 18, 21, 24, 27, 31, 34, 37, and 40.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thanh D. Vo whose telephone number is (571) 272-0708. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9AM-5:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Reginald G. Bragdon can be reached on (571) 272-4204. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Thanh D. Vo Patent Examiner

AU 2189 01/08/2006 REGINALD BRAGDON
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100